

**COMMON FIXED POINTS FOR FOUR NON-SELF-MAPPINGS**

By

**Santosh Kumar<sup>1</sup> and Terentius Rugumisa<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Natural and Applied Sciences,  
University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania  
Email: drsengar2002@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science, Technology and Environmental Studies,  
The Open University of Tanzania  
Email: rterentius@gmail.com

(Received : June 11, 2017 ; Revised : November 03, 2017)

**Abstract**

In this paper, we formulate a quasi-contraction type non-self mapping on Takahashi convex metric spaces and common fixed point theorems that applies to two pairs of mappings. The result generalizes the fixed point theorems of some previous authors.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

**Key words:** Common fixed point, metrically convex metric space, non-self mapping.

**1. Introduction and Preliminaries**

Gajić and Rakočević [1] proved a quasi-contraction common fixed point theorem for non-self mappings on Takahashi convex metric spaces for a pair of mappings. In their work they generalized the theorems by Jungck [2], Das and Naik [3], Ćirić et al. [4], Ćirić [5] and Imdad and Kumar [6]. In this study, we extend the theorem by Gajić and Rakočević [1] to apply for two pairs of mappings in metric spaces.

The following are the preliminaries required in this paper.

Given two non-self mappings  $f, g: K \rightarrow X$  we say that  $x \in K$  is *coincidence point* if  $fx = gx$ . We term the point  $y \in X$  as a *point of coincidence* if  $y = fx = gx$  where  $x$  is a coincidence point. We also say that  $f$  and  $g$  are *coincidentally commuting* if  $fgx = gfx$  whenever  $x$  is a coincidence point.

If  $K$  is a subset of  $X$ , we denote the boundary of  $K$  as  $\delta K$ .

Here, we provide the definition of a Takahashi convex metric space which is useful for future discussion.

**Definition 1.1.** [7]. Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $I = [0, 1]$  be the closed unit interval. A mapping  $W: X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$  is said to be a convex structure on  $X$  if for all  $x, y \in X; \lambda \in I$ ,

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq d(u, x) + d(u, y)$$

for every  $u \in X$ . The metric space  $(X, d)$ , together with the convex structure is called the Takahashi convex metric space.

If  $(X, d)$  is a Takahashi convex metric space, then for every  $x, y \in X$ , we term

$$\text{seg}[x, y] := \{W(x, y, \lambda) : \lambda \in [0; 1]\}.$$

We will use the following property for a Takahashi convex structure in a metric space  $(X, d)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** [7] Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \in \text{seg}[x, y]$ , then for all  $u \in X$  we have

$$d(u, z) \leq \max\{d(u, x), d(u, y)\}.$$

In Gajić and Rakočević [1], the following theorem was proved:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $C$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$  and  $\delta C$  be the boundary of  $C$ . Let  $g, f: C \rightarrow X$  and suppose  $\delta C \neq \emptyset$ . Let us assume that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) For every  $x, y \in C$ ,  $d(gx, gy) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$  where
 
$$M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{\omega_1[d(fx, fy)], \omega_2[d(fx, gx)], \omega_3[d(fy, gy)], \omega_4[d(fx, gy)], \omega_5[d(gx, fy)]\},$$
 $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - \omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta C \subseteq f(C)$ ,
- (iii)  $g(C) \cap C \subset f(C)$ ,
- (iv)  $fx \in \delta C \Rightarrow gx \in C$  and
- (v)  $f(C)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $v$  in  $C$ . Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are coincidentally commuting, then  $v$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

## 2. Results

This paper seeks to modify Theorem 1.3 to four non-self maps. We seek to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$  with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let mappings  $A, B, S, T: K \rightarrow X$ . Assume that  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(Ax, By) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$ , where
 
$$M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{\omega_1[d(Sx, Ty)], \omega_2[d(Ax, Sx)], \omega_3[d(By, Ty)], \omega_4[d(Ax, Ty)], \omega_5[d(Sx, By)]\},$$
 $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < \frac{1}{2}r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - 2\omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta K \subseteq T(K)$ ,  $\delta K \subset S(K)$ ,
- (iii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax \in K$ ,  $Tx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Bx \in K$ ,
- (iv)  $A(K) \cap K \subset T(K)$ ,  $B(K) \cap K \subset S(K)$  and
- (v)  $S(K), T(K)$  are closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $z \in K$  for  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . Moreover, if each of the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  is coincidentally commuting, then  $z$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

*Proof.* Commencing with an arbitrary point  $w \in \delta K$ , we construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points in  $K$  as follows:

From assumption (ii), there is a point  $x_0 \in K$  such that  $Sx_0 = w$ . From (iii),  $Ax_0 \in K$ . According to (iv), we find  $x_1 \in K$  such that  $Tx_1 = Ax_0$ . We locate  $Bx_1$ . We consider two scenarios.

- (1) If  $Bx_1 \in K$ , then, using (iv), we can locate  $x_2 \in K$  such that  $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ . We then find  $Ax_2$ . If it happens  $Ax_2 \in K$ , then, from (iv), we can find  $x_3 \in K$  such that  $Ax_2 = Tx_3$ . If however  $Ax_2 \notin K$ , because  $W$  is continuous in the third variable, there is  $\lambda_{22} \in (0, 1)$  such that  $W(Sx_2, Ax_2, \lambda_{22}) \in \text{seg}[Sx_2, Ax_2] \cap \delta K$ . As  $W(Sx_2, Ax_2, \lambda_{22}) \in \delta K$ , by (ii), there is  $x_3 \in K$  such that  $Tx_3 = W(Sx_2, Ax_2, \lambda_{22}) \in \delta K$ .

- (2) (2) In the case where  $Bx_1 \notin K$ , because  $W$  is continuous in the third variable, there is  $\lambda_{11} \in (0,1)$  such that  $W(Tx_1, Bx_1, \lambda_{11}) \in \text{seg}[Tx_1, Bx_1] \cap K$ . As  $W(Tx_1, Bx_1, \lambda_{11}) \in \delta K$ , by (ii), there is  $x_2 \in K$  such that  $Sx_2 = W(Tx_1, Bx_1, \lambda_{11}) \in \delta K$ .

In general, we construct the rest of the sequence by proceeding inductively using the following procedure. If  $Ax_{2n} \in K$ , then, by (iv), we choose  $x_{2n+1} \in K$  such that  $Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}$ . Similarly if  $Bx_{2n+1} \in K$ , then, by (iv), we choose  $x_{2n+2} \in K$  such that  $Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1}$ .

If however  $Ax_{2n} \notin K$ , it means, by (iii), there is  $\lambda_{2n,2n} \in (0,1)$  and we can choose  $x_{2n+1} \in K$  such that  $Tx_{2n+1} = W(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, \lambda_{2n,2n}) \in K$ .

Similarly if  $Bx_{2n+1} \notin K$ , it means there is  $\lambda_{2n+1,2n+1} \in (0,1)$  and we can choose  $x_{2n+2} \in K$  such that  $Sx_{2n+2} = W(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1,2n+1}) \in \delta K$ .

Now we first prove that

$$Ax_{2n} \neq Tx_{2n+1} \Rightarrow Bx_{2n-1} = Sx_n \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose we have  $Bx_{2n-1} \neq Sx_{2n}$ . Then we have  $Sx_{2n} \in \delta K$ , which by (iii) means  $Ax_{2n} \in K$ . By (iv), this implies that  $Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ , which is a contradiction. Using a similar argument we have

$$Bx_{2n+1} \neq Sx_{2n+2} \Rightarrow Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \quad (2.2)$$

We now prove that the sequences  $\{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$  are bounded. For each  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$D_n = \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{Ax_{2i}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{Bx_{2i+1}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{Sx_{2i}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{Tx_{2i+1}\} \right).$$

Let  $\alpha_n = \text{diam}(D_n)$ . We want to show that

$$\alpha_n \leq \max\{d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j}), d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j+1}), 0 \leq j \leq n-1\} \quad (2.3)$$

Let us consider the case where  $\alpha_n = 0, n \geq 1$ .

If  $\alpha_n = 0$ , we have  $Sx_0 = Ax_0 = Bx_1 = Tx_1$ . We shall show that  $Sx_0$  is a common fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$ . As the mappings  $A$  and  $S$  are coincidentally commuting at the coincidence point  $x_0$ , we have

$$Sx_0 = Ax_0 \Rightarrow SSx_0 = SAx_0 = ASx_0 \quad (2.4)$$

From (i), we have for some  $t = 1, 4$  or  $5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(SSx_0, Sx_0) &= d(ASx_0, Bx_1) \leq M_\omega(Sx_0, x_1) \\ &= \max\{\omega_1[d(SSx_0, Tx_1)], \omega_2[d(ASx_0, SSx_0)], \omega_3[d(Bx_1, Tx_1)], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(ASx_0, Tx_1)], \omega_5[d(SSx_0, Bx_1)]\} \\ &= \max\{\omega_1[d(SSx_0, Sx_0)], \omega_2[d(SSx_0, SSx_0)], \omega_3[d(Sx_0, Sx_0)], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(SSx_0, Sx_0)], \omega_5[d(SSx_0, Sx_0)]\} \\ &= \omega_t[d(SSx_0, Sx_0)] \\ &< \frac{1}{2}d(SSx_0, Sx_0) \text{ for } d(SSx_0, Sx_0) > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow d(SSx_0, Sx_0) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow SSx_0 = Sx_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Sx_0$  is a fixed point of  $S$ . From (2.4), we have  $SSx_0 = ASx_0$ , which implies  $d(ASx_0, Sx_0) = 0$ , making  $Sx_0$  a fixed point of  $A$  too.

Using a similar argument we have  $Tx_1 = Sx_0$  being a common fixed point of  $T$  and  $B$ . Hence,  $z = Sx_0$  is a common fixed point of all four mappings  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

To show the uniqueness of the fixed point, let  $z'$  be also a fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . Then for some  $i = 1, 4$  or  $5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, z') &= d(Az, Bz') \\ &\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Sz, Tz')], \omega_2[d(Az, Sz)], \omega_3[d(Bz', Tz')], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(Az, Tz')], \omega_5[d(Sz, Bz')]\} \\ &= \max\{\omega_i[d(z, z')]\} \\ &< \frac{1}{2}d(z, z') \text{ for } d(z, z') > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow d(z, z') = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow z = z'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence when  $\alpha_n = 0$ ,  $z = Sx_0$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

We now consider the cases when  $\alpha_n > 0$ .

**Case 1:** Consider the case where  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j})$  for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$ .

*Subcase (1.i):* If  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2i} = Bx_{2i-1}$  we have for some  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j}) = d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1}) \\ &\leq M_\omega(x_{2j}, x_{2i-1}) \\ &\leq \omega_s(\alpha_n) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $i = 0$ .

*Subcase (1.ii):* If however  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2i} \neq Bx_{2i-1}$ , it implies  $Sx_{2i} \in \text{seg}[Ax_{2i-2}, Bx_{2i-1}]$  and hence by Lemma 1.2 we have

$$\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j}) \leq \max\{d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1}), d(Ax_{2i-2}, Ax_{2j})\}.$$

*Subcase (1.ii.1):* If  $d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1}) \geq d(Ax_{2i-2}, Ax_{2j})$ , we have

$$\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j}) \leq d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1}),$$

which leads to the contradiction in Subcase (1.i).

*Subcase (1.ii.2):* Otherwise if  $d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1}) < d(Ax_{2i-2}, Ax_{2j})$ , then for

$k: 2i - 2 < 2k + 1 < 2j$ , and for some  $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j}) \leq d(Ax_{2i-2}, Ax_{2j}) \\ &\leq d(Ax_{2i-2}, Bx_{2k+1}) + d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2k+1}) \\ &\leq M_\omega(x_{2i-2}, x_{2k+1}) + M_\omega(x_{2j}, x_{2k+1}) \\ &\leq \omega_s(\alpha_n) + \omega_t(\alpha_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n \\
&= \alpha_n,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $i = 0$ .

**Case 2:** The case where  $\alpha_n = d(Ax_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1})$  leads to a contradiction by Subcase (1.i).

**Case 3:** The case where  $\alpha_n = d(Ax_{2i}, Ax_{2j})$  leads to a contradiction by Subcase (1.ii.2).

**Case 4:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Bx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1})$  then for  $k : 2i + 1 < 2k < 2j + 1$ , and for some

$s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= d(Bx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1}) \\
&\leq d(Ax_{2k}, Bx_{2i+1}) + d(Ax_{2k}, Bx_{2j+1}) \\
&\leq M_\omega(x_{2k}, x_{2i+1}) + M_\omega(x_{2k}, x_{2j+1}) \\
&\leq \omega_s(\alpha_n) + \omega_t(\alpha_n) \\
&< \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n \\
&= \alpha_n,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

**Case 5:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1})$  for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$ , then:

*Subcase (5.i):* If  $Tx_{2i+1} = Ax_{2i}$ , we have  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1}) = d(Ax_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1})$ , which is a contradiction by Subcase (1.i).

*Subcase (5.ii):* Otherwise if  $Tx_{2i+1} \neq Ax_{2i}$  then  $Tx_{2i+1} \in \text{seg}[Bx_{2i-1}, Ax_{2i}]$  and hence by Lemma 1.2,

$$\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1}) \leq \max\{d(Bx_{2i-1}, Bx_{2j+1}), d(Ax_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1})\}.$$

This means we have either  $d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1}) \leq d(Bx_{2i-1}, Bx_{2j+1})$ , which is a contradiction by Case 4 or  $d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j+1}) \leq d(Ax_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1})$ , which is a contradiction by Subcase (1.i).

**Case 6:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j})$  for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$ , then:

*Subcase (6.i):* If  $Tx_{2i+1} = Ax_{2i}$  we have  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j}) = d(Ax_{2i}, Ax_{2j})$ , which is not possible by Subcase (1.ii.2)

*Subcase (6.ii):* Otherwise if  $Tx_{2i+1} \neq Ax_{2i}$  then  $Tx_{2i+1} \in \text{seg}[Bx_{2i-1}, Ax_{2i}]$  and hence  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j}) \leq \max\{d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1}), d(Ax_{2i}, Ax_{2j})\}$ . This implies we have either  $d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j}) \leq d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2i-1})$  which is a contradiction by Subcase (1.i) or else we have  $d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j}) \leq d(Ax_{2i}, Ax_{2j})$  which is a contradiction by Subcase (1.ii.2).

**Case 7:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx_{2j+1})$  for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$ , then:

*Subcase (7.i):* If  $Tx_{2j+1} = Ax_{2j}$ , we have  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx_{2j+1}) = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j})$  which is a contradiction by Case 6.

*Subcase (7.ii):* Otherwise if  $Tx_{2j+1} \neq Ax_{2j}$ , then  $Tx_{2j+1} \in \text{seg}[Bx_{2j-1}, Ax_{2j}]$  and hence  $\alpha_n = d(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx_{2j+1}) \leq \max\{d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j-1}), d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j})\}$ .

This implies we have either  $d(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx_{2j+1}) \leq d(Tx_{2i+1}, Bx_{2j-1})$ , which results in a contradiction by Case 5 or else we have  $d(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx_{2j+1}) \leq d(Tx_{2i+1}, Ax_{2j})$ , which is a contradiction by Case 6.

**Case 8:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1})$  for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , then:

*Subcase (8.i):* If  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2i} = Bx_{2i-1}$  then  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1}) = d(Bx_{2i-1}, Bx_{2j+1})$ , which is not possible as per Case 4. Hence  $i = 0$ .

*Subcase (8.ii):* If however  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2i} \neq Bx_{2i-1}$ , it means that  $Sx_{2i} \in \text{seg}[Ax_{2i-2}, Bx_{2i-1}]$ . This implies that  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1}) \leq \max\{d(Ax_{2i-2}, Bx_{2j+1}), d(Bx_{2i-1}, Bx_{2j+1})\}$ . This leads to a contradiction by Subcase (1.i) when  $d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1}) \leq d(Ax_{2i-2}, Bx_{2j+1})$  and a contradiction by Case 4 when it happens that  $d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j+1}) \leq d(Bx_{2i-1}, Bx_{2j+1})$ . Hence  $i = 0$ .

**Case 9:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Sx_{2j})$  for some  $0 < i < j < n-1$ , then:

*Subcase (9.i):* If  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2j} = Bx_{2j-1}$ , then we have  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Sx_{2j}) = d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j-1})$ , which leads to a contradiction according to Case 8. Hence  $i = 0$ .

*Subcase (9.ii):* If  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2j} \neq Bx_{2j-1}$ , it implies that  $Sx_{2j} \in \text{seg}[Ax_{2j-2}, Bx_{2j-1}]$  and  $d(Sx_{2i}, Sx_{2j}) \leq \max\{d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j-2}), d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j-1})\}$ . If it happens  $d(Sx_{2i}, Sx_{2j}) \leq d(Sx_{2i}, Ax_{2j-2})$ , we get a contradiction by Case 1. However if it happens that  $d(Sx_{2i}, Sx_{2j}) \leq d(Sx_{2i}, Bx_{2j-1})$ , then we get a contradiction by Case 8. Hence  $i = 0$ .

**Case 10:** If  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Tx_{2j+1})$ , for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , we have

*Subcase (10.i):* If  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2i} = Bx_{2i-1}$  then we have  $\alpha_n = d(Sx_{2i}, Tx_{2j+1}) = d(Tx_{2j+1}, Bx_{2i-1})$ , which is not possible as per Case 8. Hence  $i = 0$ .

*Subcase (10.ii):* If however  $i \geq 1$  and  $Sx_{2i} \neq Bx_{2i-1}$  it implies that  $Sx_{2i} \in \text{seg}[Ax_{2i-2}, Bx_{2i-1}]$  and  $d(Sx_{2i}, Tx_{2j+1}) \leq \max\{d(Tx_{2j+1}, Ax_{2i-2}), d(Tx_{2j+1}, Bx_{2i-1})\}$ . This leads to contradictions by Case 6 and Case 5. Hence  $i = 0$ .

We have considered 10 possible cases for  $\alpha_n$  and conclude that

$$\alpha_n \in \{d(Sx_0, Sx_{2j}), d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j}), d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j+1}), d(Sx_0, Tx_{2j+1})\},$$

for some  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ . By the construction of the sequences, we have

$$d(Sx_0, Sx_{2j}) \leq \max\{d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j-2}), d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j-1})\} \text{ and}$$

$$d(Sx_0, Tx_{2j+1}) \leq \max\{d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j}), d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j-1})\}. \text{ Thus we have now proved (2.3) that is,}$$

$$\alpha_n \leq \max\{d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j}), d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j+1})\}, 0 \leq j \leq 1.$$

Consider the case where  $\max\{d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j})\} \leq \max\{d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j+1})\}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Then we have for some  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and for some  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &\leq d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j+1}) \\ &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0) + d(Ax_0, Bx_{2j+1}) \\ &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0) + \omega_u[\alpha_n] \\ &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0) + 2\omega_u[\alpha_n] \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_n - 2\omega_u[\alpha_n] &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0). \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, if  $\max\{d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j})\} > \max\{d(Sx_0, Bx_{2j+1})\}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , then for some  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  and for some  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$  and Subcase (1.ii.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_{2j}) \\ &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0) + d(Ax_0, Ax_{2j}) \\ &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0) + 2\omega_v[\alpha_n] \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_n - 2\omega_v[\alpha_n] &\leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus in both cases we have for some  $s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

$$\alpha_n - 2\omega_s[\alpha_n] \leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0) \quad (2.5)$$

By assumption (i), there is  $r_0 \in [0, +\infty)$  such that for each  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ , we have  $r - 2\omega_s[r] > d(Sx_0, Ax_0)$  for  $r > r_0$ . Thus, there is a subsequence  $\{a_n\}$  of  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$  such that for each  $n$  we have

$$a_n - 2\omega_s[a_n] \leq d(Sx_0, Ax_0).$$

Thus by (2.5),  $a_n \leq r_0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and also

$$a := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = \text{diam}(D) \leq r_0.$$

We have hence proved that  $\{Sx_{2n}\}$ ,  $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ ,  $\{Ax_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$  are bounded sequences.

To prove that  $\{Sx_{2n}\}$ ,  $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ ,  $\{Ax_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$  converge in  $K$ , we reflect on the set

$$E_n = \left( \bigcup_{i=n}^{+\infty} \{Ax_{2i}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=n}^{+\infty} \{Bx_{2i+1}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=n}^{+\infty} \{Sx_{2i}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=n}^{+\infty} \{Tx_{2i+1}\} \right),$$

$n = 2, 3, \dots$

By (2.3) we have

$$e_n := \text{diam}(E_n) \leq \sup_{j \geq n} \{d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2j}), d(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2j+1})\}, n = 2, 3, \dots$$

If  $Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$ , we have, as in Case 1 and Case 8, for each  $j \geq n$ , and for some  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &\leq \sup_{j \geq n} \{d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2n-1}), d(Bx_{2j+1}, Bx_{2n-1}), d(Ax_{2j}, Bx_{2n-1}), \}, n = 2, 3, \dots \\ &\leq 2\omega_u[e_{n-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

If however  $Sx_{2n} \neq Bx_{2n-1}$ , it implies  $Sx_{2n} \in \text{seg}[Ax_{2n-2}, Bx_{2n-1}]$ . Hence, as in Case 1 and Case 8, for each  $j \geq n$  and for some  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ , we have,

$$e_n \leq \sup_{j \geq n} \{d(Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2j}), d(Bx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2j}), d(Ax_{2n-2}, Bx_{2j+1}), d(Bx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2j+1})\}$$

$$\leq 2\omega_v(e_{n-2}). \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), there is a subsequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  of  $\{e_n\}$  and some  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$  such that for each  $n$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_n \leq 2\omega_s[\varepsilon_{n-2}], n = 2, 3, \dots \leq \varepsilon_{n-2}. \quad (2.8)$$

We note that  $e_n \geq e_{n+1}$  for every  $n$ . Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = e$ . We claim that  $e = 0$ . If  $e > 0$ , then by (2.8) and assumption (i) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n-2} \Rightarrow e < e,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $e = 0$ .

This means that the sequences  $\{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Tx_{2n+1}\}, \{Ax_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$  converge to a point  $z$ . Since  $\{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Tx_{2n+1}\} \in K$  and  $S(K), T(K)$  are closed in the complete metric space  $X$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = z \in S(K) \cap T(K). \quad (2.9)$$

As  $z \in S(K)$ , there is a point  $u \in K$  such that  $Su = z$ . We show that  $u$  is a coincidence point of  $A, B$  and  $S$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Au, Bx_{2n+1}) &\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Su, Tx_{2n+1})]; \omega_2[d(Au, Su)], \omega_3[d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(Au, Tx_{2n+1})], \omega_5[d(Su, Bx_{2n+1})]\} \\ &= \max\{\omega_1[d(z, Tx_{2n+1})]; \omega_2[d(Au, z)], \omega_3[d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(Au, Tx_{2n+1})], \omega_5[d(z, Bx_{2n+1})]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and applying (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Au, z) &\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(z, z)], \omega_2[d(Au, z)], \omega_3[d(z, z)], \omega_4[d(Au, z)], \omega_5[d(z, z)]\} \\ &\leq \omega_i[d(Au, z)] \text{ for some } i \in \{2, 4\} \\ &< d(Au, z) \text{ for } d(Au, z) > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow d(Au, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Au = z.$$

Using a similar procedure, when we expand  $d(Ax_{2n}, Bu)$ , we get  $Bu = z$  making  $u$  a coincidence point of  $A, B$  and  $S$ . By the coincidental commutativity of  $S$  and  $A$  we have

$$SAu = ASu \Rightarrow Sz = Az.$$

From (2.9),  $z \in T(K)$  means there is  $v \in K$ , such that  $Tv = z$ . We show that  $Bv = z$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Bv) &= d(Au, Bv) \\ &\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Su, Tv)], \omega_2[d(Su, Au)], \omega_3[d(Tv, Bv)], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(Au, Tv)], \omega_5[d(Su, Bv)]\} \\ &= \max\{\omega_1[d(z, z)], \omega_2[d(z, z)], \omega_3[d(z, Bv)], \omega_4[d(z, z)], \omega_5[d(z, Bv)]\} \\ &\leq \omega_j[d(z, Bv)] \text{ for } j = 3 \text{ or } 5, \\ &< d(z, Bv) \text{ for } d(z, Bv) > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow Bv = z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $v$  is a coincidence point of  $B$  and  $T$ . By the coincidental commutativity property, we have  $BTv = TBv \Rightarrow Bz = Tz$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Az, Bz) &\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Sz, Tz)], \omega_2[d(Sz, Az)], \omega_3[d(Tz, Bz)], \\ &\quad \omega_4[d(Az, Tz)], \omega_5[d(Sz, Bz)]\} \\ &= \max\{\omega_1[d(Az, Bz)], \omega_2[d(Az, Az)], \omega_3[d(Bz, Bz)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega_4[d(Az, Bz)], \omega_5[d(Az, Bz)] \\
& \leq \omega_i[d(Az, Bz)] \text{ for } i \in \{1, 4, 5\} \\
& < d(Az, Bz) \text{ for } d(Az, Bz) > 0 \\
& \Rightarrow Az = Bz.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$Az = Bz = Sz = Tz. \quad (2.10)$$

Now we consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z, Bz) &= d(Au, Bz) \\
&\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Su, Tz)], \omega_2[d(Au, Su)], \omega_3[d(Bz, Tz)], \\
&\quad \omega_4[d(Au, Tz)], \omega_5[d(Su, Bz)]\} \\
&\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(z, Bz)], \omega_2[d(z, z)], \omega_3[d(Bz, Bz)], \\
&\quad \omega_4[d(z, Bz)], \omega_5[d(z, Bz)]\} \\
&\leq \omega_j[d(z, Bz)] \text{ for } j \in \{1, 4, 5\} \\
&< d(z, Bz) \text{ for } d(z, Bz) > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow d(z, Bz) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow Bz = z.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.10) we conclude that

$$Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z.$$

This means that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

We now show that  $z$  is unique. Suppose  $z'$  is also a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z, z') &= d(Az, Bz') \\
&\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Sz, Tz')], \omega_2[d(Az, Sz)], \omega_3[d(Bz', Tz')], \\
&\quad \omega_4[d(Az, Tz')], \omega_5[d(Sz, Bz')]\} \\
&\leq \max\{\omega_1[d(z, z')], \omega_2[d(z, z)], \omega_3[d(z', z')], \omega_4[d(z, z')], \omega_5[d(z, z')]\} \\
&\leq \omega_k[d(z, z')] \text{ for } k \in \{1, 4, 5\} \\
&< d(z, z') \text{ for } d(z, z') > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow d(z, z') = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow z = z'.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that the common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  is unique.

If we define  $\omega_t[r] = hr$  for  $0 \leq 2h < 1$ , we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$  and  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$ , with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let the mappings  $A, B, S, T: K \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) For every  $x, y \in K$  we have  $d(Ax, By) \leq hM(x, y)$ , where  $0 \leq 2h < 1$  and  $M(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), d(Ax, Ty), d(Sx, By)\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta K \subseteq T(K), \delta K \subseteq S(K)$ ,
- (iii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax \in K, Tx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Bx \in K$  and
- (iv)  $S(K), T(K)$  are closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $z$  for  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  in  $K$ . Moreover, if each of the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  is coincidentally commuting, then  $z$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

We deduce another corollary by letting  $A = B$ . When this is the situation, in the proof for Theorem 2.1, Case 4 is identical to Subcase (1.i). Moreover, Subcase (1.i) enables us to change the property in Theorem 2.1(i) from  $\omega_1[r] < r/2$  for  $r > 0$  to  $\omega_1[r] < r$  for  $r > 0$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$  and  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$ , with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let the mappings  $A, S, T: K \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that  $A, S$  and  $T$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(Ax, Ay) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$  where  $M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{\omega_1[d(Sx, Ty)], \omega_2[d(Ax, Sx)], \omega_3[d(Ay, Ty)], \omega_4[d(Ax, Ty)], \omega_5[d(Sx, Ay)]\}$  where  $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - \omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta K \subseteq T(K)$ ,  $\delta K \subset S(K)$ ,
- (iii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax \in K$ ,  $Tx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax \in K$ ,
- (iv)  $A(K) \cap K \subset T(K)$ ,  $A(K) \cap K \subset S(K)$  and
- (v)  $S(K), T(K)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $z \in K$  for  $A, S$  and  $T$ . Moreover, if each of the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{A, T\}$  is coincidentally commuting, then  $z$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

**Remark 1:** If we set  $S = T$  in Corollary 2.3, we get Theorem 1.3 by Gajić and Rakocić [1].

**Remark 2:** If we set  $S = T = I$  in Corollary 2.3, we get the theorem as proved by Ćirić [5].

We form the following corollary by setting  $A = B = I$  in Theorem 2.1, that is, setting  $A = I$  in Corollary 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$  and  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$ , with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let the mappings  $S, T: K \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(x, y) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$  where  $M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{\omega_1[d(Sx, Ty)], \omega_2[d(x, Sx)], \omega_3[d(y, Ty)], \omega_4[d(x, Ty)], \omega_5[d(Sx, y)]\}$  and  $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - \omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow x \in K$ ,  $Tx \in \delta K \Rightarrow x \in K$ ,
- (iii)  $K \subset T(K)$ ,  $K \subset S(K)$  and
- (iv)  $S(K), T(K)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

We form yet another corollary from Corollary 2.4 by setting  $S = T$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$  and  $\delta K$*

be the boundary of  $K$ , with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let the mapping  $S: K \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that  $S$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(x, y) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$  where  $M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{\omega_1[d(Sx, Sy)], \omega_2[d(x, Sx)], \omega_3[d(y, Sy)], \omega_4[d(x, Sy)], \omega_5[d(Sx, y)]\}$  and  $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - \omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow x \in K$ ,
- (iii)  $K \subset S(K)$  and
- (iv)  $S(K)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a unique fixed point of  $S$ .

If we let  $B = I$  in Theorem 2.1, to get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.6.**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$  with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let mappings  $A, S, T: K \rightarrow X$ . Assume that  $A, S$  and  $T$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (vi) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(Ax, y) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$ , where  $M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{[\omega_1[d(Sx, Ty)], \omega_2[d(Ax, Sx)], \omega_3[d(y, Ty)], \omega_4[d(Ax, Ty)], \omega_5[d(Sx, y)]]\}$ ,  $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < \frac{1}{2}r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - 2\omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ ,
- (vii)  $\delta K \subseteq T(K)$ ,
- (viii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax \in K$ ,  $Tx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Bx \in K$ ,
- (ix)  $A(K) \cap K \subset T(K)$ ,  $K \subset S(K)$  and
- (x)  $S(K), T(K)$  are closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $z \in K$  for  $A, S$  and  $T$ . Moreover, if the pair  $\{A, S\}$  is coincidentally commuting, then  $z$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

When we set  $S = T$  in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$  with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let mappings  $A, B, S: K \rightarrow X$ . Assume that  $A, B$  and  $S$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (xi) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(Ax, By) \leq M_\omega(x, y)$ , where  $M_\omega(x, y) = \max\{[\omega_1[d(Sx, Sy)], \omega_2[d(Ax, Sx)], \omega_3[d(By, Sy)], \omega_4[d(Ax, Sy)], \omega_5[d(Sx, By)]]\}$ ,  $\omega_i: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < \frac{1}{2}r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - 2\omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ .
- (xii)  $\delta K \subset S(K)$ ,
- (xiii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax, Bx \in K$ ,
- (xiv)  $A(K) \cap K \subset S(K)$ ,  $B(K) \cap K \subset S(K)$  and
- (xv)  $S(K)$ , is closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $z \in K$  for  $A, B$  and  $S$ . Moreover, if each of the pairs  $\{S, A\}$  and  $\{S, B\}$  is coincidentally commuting, then  $z$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $A, B$  and  $S$ .

We form another corollary by setting  $x = y$  in Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure  $W$  which is continuous in the third variable. Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\delta K$  be the boundary of  $K$  with  $\delta K \neq \emptyset$ . Let mappings  $S, T, A, B : K \rightarrow X$ . Assume that  $S, T, A$  and  $B$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) For every  $x, y \in K$ ,  $d(Ax, Bx) \leq M_\omega(x)$ , where  
 $M_\omega(x) = \max\{\omega_1[d(Sx, Tx)], \omega_2[d(Ax, Sx)], \omega_3[d(Bx, Tx)], \omega_4[d(Ax, Tx)], \omega_5[d(Sx, Bx)]\}$ ,  
 $\omega_i : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that  $\omega_i(r) < \frac{1}{2}r$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r - 2\omega_i(r)] = +\infty$ .
- (ii)  $\delta K \subseteq T(K)$ ,  $\delta K \subset S(K)$ ,
- (iii)  $Sx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Ax \in K$ ,  $Tx \in \delta K \Rightarrow Bx \in K$ ,
- (iv)  $A(K) \cap K \subset T(K)$ ,  $B(K) \cap K \subset S(K)$  and
- (v)  $S(K), T(K)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Then there exists a coincidence point  $z \in K$  for  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . Moreover, if each of the pairs  $\{S, A\}$  and  $\{T, B\}$  is coincidentally commuting, then  $z$  remains a unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

Here we give an example on the use of our result (Theorem 2.1).

**Example 2.1:** Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $K = [1, 3]$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ .

Let  $\omega_i[r] = \frac{1}{3}r$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . We note that  $\omega_i[r] < \frac{1}{2}r$ . Define  $A, B, S, T : K \rightarrow X$  by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 2x^4 - 1 & \text{for } x \in [1, 2] \\ 7 & \text{for } x \in (2, 3] \end{cases} \quad Ax = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{for } x \in [1, 2] \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in (2, 3] \end{cases}$$

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 2x^6 - 1 & \text{for } x \in [1, 2] \\ 7 & \text{for } x \in (2, 3] \end{cases} \quad Ax = \begin{cases} x^3 & \text{for } x \in [1, 2] \\ 2 & \text{for } x \in (2, 3] \end{cases}$$

We have  $S(K) = [1, 31]$ ,  $T(K) = [0, 127]$  both of which are closed. We also have  $\delta K = \{1, 3\} \subseteq S(K), T(K)$ .

We find out that  $\{x \in K : Sx \in \delta K\} = \{1, 2^{1/4}\}$  and  $A(\{1, 2^{1/4}\}) = \{1, \sqrt{2}\} \in K$ . Similarly  $\{x \in K : Tx \in \delta K\} = \{1, 2^{1/6}\}$  and  $B(\{1, 2^{1/6}\}) = \{1, \sqrt{2}\} \in K$ .

We note that  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  are both coincidentally commuting at  $x = 1$ , that is,  $SA(1) = AS(1) = 1$  and  $TB(1) = BT(1) = 1$ . We also note that all four mappings are discontinuous at  $x = 2$ . Without loss of generality let  $y \geq x$ .

Consider  $x, y \in (2, 3]$ . Then we have

$$d(Ax, By) = d(2, 2) = 0 \leq \frac{1}{3}d(Sx, Ty).$$

For  $x, y \in [1, 2]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Ax, By) &= |x^2 - y^3| \\
&= |x^2 - y^3| \times \frac{|x^2 + y^3|}{|x^2 + y^3|} \\
&= \frac{|x^4 - y^6|}{|x^2 + y^3|} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} |2x^4 - 2x^6| \\
&= \frac{1}{4} d(Sx, Ty) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3} d(Sx, Ty).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $x \in [1, 2]$ ,  $y \in (2, 3]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Ax, By) &= |x^2 - 2| \\
&= |x^2 - 2| \frac{|x^2 + 2|}{|x^2 + 2|} \\
&= \frac{|x^4 - 4|}{|x^2 + 2|} \\
&< \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2} |2x^4 - 8| \\
&= \frac{1}{6} d(Sx, Ty) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3} d(Sx, Ty).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus in all cases, for every  $x, y \in K$ , we have

$$d(Ax, By) \leq \max\{\omega_1[d(Sx, Ty)], \omega_2[d(Ax, Sx)], \omega_3[d(By, Ty)], \omega_4[d(Ax, Ty)], \omega_5[d(Sx, By)]\}.$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and 1 is the unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

### References

- [1] L. Gajić and V. Rakočević, Pair of non-self mappings and common fixed points, *Appl. Math. Comp.* **187** (2007), 999-1006.
- [2] G. Jungck, Commuting maps and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **83** (1976), 261-263.
- [3] K. Das and K. Naik, Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on metric space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1979), 369-373.
- [4] L. Ćirić, J. Ume, M. Khan and H. Pathak, On some non-self mappings, *Math. Nach.* **251** (2003), 28-33.

- [5] L. Ćirić, Contractive type non-self mappings on metric spaces of hyperbolic type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **317** (2006), 28-42.
- [6] M. Imdad and S. Kumar, Rhoades-type fixed-point theorems for a pair of non-self mappings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **46** (2003), 919-927.
- [7] W. Takahashi, A convexity in metric space and non-expansive mappings, *J. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* **22** (1970), 142-149.